UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

IN RE: COLOPLAST CORP.

PELVIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2387

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CIVIL ACTION NO.

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

2:13-cv-22245 – Starek, et al. v. Mentor Worldwide, LLC

PRETRIAL ORDER # 33 (Agreed Order Regarding Severance of Actions)

The complaint in the above-referenced action joined multiple plaintiffs whose only apparent connection with one another is that they were implanted with a Coloplast and/or Mentor pelvic mesh product. The parties have agreed that multiple plaintiff complaints, except those complaints in which only one individual alleges using a Coloplast and/or Mentor product but another derivative plaintiff is named as well (e.g. cases in which a spouse is asserting only a loss of consortium claim), should be severed. Accordingly, to resolve any misjoinder concerns and to facilitate the efficient administration of these actions, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Except for the first named plaintiff (and derivative plaintiff(s) claiming under her, if any) **and** any plaintiff(s) who objects to severance (see paragraph 7) the remaining plaintiffs shall be dismissed without prejudice **on September 24, 2013**.
- 2. Within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Pretrial Order ("PTO"), each individual plaintiff dismissed pursuant to paragraph 1 of this PTO who did not object to severance and any derivative plaintiff claiming under her, may file an individual severed complaint to continue the

prosecution of the claims they alleged in the dismissed civil action. Each such plaintiff may choose to file in this court, a Short Form Complaint in the form available on the court's website.

- 3. Any Short Form Complaint filed by a severed plaintiff must be accompanied by the appropriate filing fee, and assigned a separate civil action number determined by the Clerk.
- 4. Any severed plaintiff who wishes to name as defendants parties who are not listed in the current Master Long Form Complaint as defendants must file an individual complaint in the District Court where such action properly can be filed and await transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "Panel").
- 5. Any re-filed action (whether filed in another district or in the Southern District of West Virginia) shall refer to the dismissed civil action and its original filing date. This information must be added to the Short Form Complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number or to the style of the long form complaint immediately under the Civil Action Number assigned by the Clerk in the district where the action is filed. (*See* Exhibit A, attached hereto.)
- 6. Any individual Complaint filed within the time provided by this PTO will be deemed to have been filed on and relate back to the original filing date of the applicable multi-plaintiff civil action. Any statute of limitations defense that existed as of the filing dates of the original multi-plaintiff complaints shall be preserved.
- 7. Any plaintiff(s) who objects to this PTO must do so within ten (10) days of entry of this PTO or by **September 20, 2013**. Such plaintiff should file her objections in the Southern District of West Virginia in the original multi-plaintiff case, with any objection not to exceed two (2) pages. Any plaintiff(s) who objects shall not be severed until such objection is resolved by the court.

8. The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to place a copy of this PTO in each re-filed action filed pursuant to this PTO that is directly filed in the Southern District and in each re-filed action filed pursuant to this PTO that is transferred by the Panel on the date the case is opened in the Southern District.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to file a copy of this order in 2:12-md-02387 and in member case number 2:13-cv-22081. In cases subsequently filed in this district, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the Clerk to counsel appearing in each new action at the time of filing of the complaint. In cases subsequently removed or transferred to this court, a copy of the most recent pretrial order will be provided by the clerk to counsel appearing in each new action upon removal or transfer. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to review and abide by all pretrial orders previously entered by the court. The orders may be accessed through the CM/ECF system or the court's website at www.wvsd.uscourts.gov.

ENTER: September 10, 2013

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED TO BY:

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

BURNETT LAW FIRM

By:

/s/ Riley L. Burnett, Jr. Riley L. Burnett, Jr. 55 Waugh Dr., Suite 803 Houston, TX 77007 rburnett@rburnettlaw.com Telephone: (832) 413-4410 Attorneys for Coloplast Corp. and Coloplast

Manufacturing US, LLC

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

By:

/s/ Lana K. Varney Lana K. Varney 98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 1100 Austin, TX 78746 Ivarney@fulbright.com Telephone: (512) 536-4594 Facsimile: (832) 900-2120

MUELLER LAW

By:

/s/ Mark R. Mueller

Mark R. Mueller 404 West 7th Street Austin, TX 78701

receptionist@muellerlaw.com Telephone: (512) 478-1236 Facsimile: (512) 478-1473

SALIM-BEASLEY, LLC

By:

/s/ Robert L. Salim

Robert L. Salim 1901 Texas Street Natchitoches, LA 71457 robertsalim@cp-tel.net

Telephone: (318) 352-5999 Facsimile: (318) 354-1227

Facsimile: (512) 536-4598

/s/ Ronn B. Kreps

Ronn B. Kreps 2100 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 rkreps@fulbright.com Telephone: (612) 321-2810

Telephone: (612) 321-2810 Facsimile: (612) 321-2288

Attorneys for Mentor Worldwide LLC

TUCKER ELLIS LLP

/s/ Dustin B. Rawlin

Dustin B. Rawlin Tucker Ellis LLP

950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

dustin.rawlin@tuckerellis.com

Telephone: 216.696.4235 Facsimile: 216.592.5009

THOMAS, COMBS & SPANN PLLC

/s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas

Thomas Combs & Spann PLLC 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380

P.O. Box 3824

Charleston, WV 25338-3824

dthomas@tcspllc.com Telephone: 304.414.1800 Facsimile: 304.414.1801

Exhibit A

I. For Directly Filed Cases:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

In Re: Coloplast Corp. Pelvic Support Systems Products
Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2325

Civil Action No	
(Severed from Civil Action No filed)	

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

II. For All Other Cases:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

JANE DOE,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Civil Action No (Severed from SDWV Civil Action No filed)
COLOPLAST CORP., et al.,	
Defendants.	
COMPLAIN	T AND JURY DEMAND